Board of Commissioners Meeting Memorandum

Date: December 13, 2006

From: Ross Miller, Director of Accreditation

Subject: Saint Anthony School of Echocardiography, On-site Evaluation

Staff recommendation

In accordance with Title 570 IAC (D) [Phase Four-Fully Accredited Status], it is the recommendation of the commission staff that Saint Anthony School of Echocardiography be granted Fully Accredited status.

Background

Staff of Saint Anthony Medical Center developed the Echocardiography program in response to the hospital's continuous need for qualified echocardiography technologist medical staff. The first objective was to upgrade the quality and number of echocardiography technologists in the region. The second objective was to expand the cardiac and vascular imaging services available in the region. This was to be achieved by training echocardiography technologists in digital technology, 3-D imaging, and other modern technologies used in vascular areas.

Carol Crossett who is a Registered Diagnostic Cardiac Sonographer serves as Program Director. Karin Kolisz who is a nurse, and holds Bachelor's of Health Arts, and M.B.A., serves as Co-Program Director. Pending Board of Commissioner's action, Ms. Crossett and Ms. Kolisz plan submission of an Application for Acceptance to the Commission of Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs Joint Review Commission on Education in Diagnostic Medical Sonography. The National Accrediting Commission requires that schools have approval from their individual states prior to submission of applications.

School Description

Saint Anthony School of Echocardiography offers one certificate program titled Adult Echocardiography. The program includes 164 didactic clock hours and 800 hospital clinical hours. The tuition cost is \$7,500.00. Students may complete the program in 22 to 24 months.

Evaluation Team

Ms. Karen Conway has been a Cardiovascular Technologist since 1982. Ms. Pamela Mattingly has over 26 years of experience as an Echo Technologist, 18 years of experience as a Vascular Technologist, and 5 years experience as a Cardiac Technologist. Ms. Val Urello has over 22 years of experience as a Cardiovascular Technologist manager of a non-invasive hospital lab. All three team members are serving for the first time.

Evaluation Results

Ms. Conway recommended Saint Anthony School of Echocardiography receive Fully Accredited status. Ms. Conway marked all but one category outstanding or superior.

Ms. Mattingly recommended Saint Anthony School of Echocardiography receive Fully Accredited status. Ms. Mattingly marked all categories as outstanding or superior.

Ms. Val Urello recommended Saint Anthony School of Echocardiography receive Fully Accredited status. Ms. Urello praised the instructors, and program organization in her written comments. The checklist also reflects a written comment about student exposure to a variety of patients and equipment. The program clinical hours are completed at various hospitals in the region. As a result of the program organization students rotate clinical sites. Upon completing the program students have learned the skills necessary to work in a variety of settings with various equipment, and different patient populations.

Conclusion

Based on the observations of staff and team evaluators, Commission staff recommends that Saint Anthony School of Echocardiography be granted Fully Accredited status.

Supporting Documentation

- 1. Ms. Karen Conway evaluator checklist
- 2. Ms. Pamela Mattingly evaluator checklist
- 3. Ms. Val Urello evaluator checklist

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	NOVEMBER 2, 2006				
Institution Evaluated:	SAINT ANTHONY SCHO	SAINT ANTHONY SCHOOL OF ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY			
Name of Team Member:	KAREN CONWAY				
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	ALUATORS				
In each category you are to ra		e of one (1) to four (4) as follo	ws:		
Outstand Superior		3. Satisfactory4. Unsatisfactory			
There is space for comments. The asterisk (*) denotes <u>requested comments</u> in order to better explain your evaluation.					
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	NAL OBJECTIVES				
A. The educational philosoph	ies/objectives are consiste	ent with the institution's role as	a training facility.		
+++					
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
Comments:					
B. The resident training is reaseeks. +++ 1. Outstandin		actually train the student for a 3. Satisfactory	the job he/she 4. Unsatisfactory*		
Comments:					
C. The advertising, brochures that it is a training ins		entations made are truthful, and cific areas of instruction it pror			
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
Comments:					
CATEGORY II FACULTY A. The institution has an adea and/or experience to		nstructors or teachers trained	by education		
+++ 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		

Comments:

B. The educational administrators are qualified professionally to administer their position through education and/or experience.				
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	,		,
	Commonia.			
C.	The faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.	
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	-	2. Caponor	o. Calibratory	ii ondationation
	Comments:			
<u>CA</u>	ATEGORY III STUDENT POLICY	<u>′</u>		
A.	Student counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's pers	onal attainments.
	+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
B.	The student/administration relation $\frac{+++}{1. \text{ Outstanding}}$	nship reflects a healthy 2. Superior	and stable rapport withi 3. Satisfactory	n the institution. 4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
C.	The student educational needs are	e met by the institution		
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:	·	,	Ţ
<u>CA</u>	ATEGORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	<u>rices</u>		
A.	The admission policy of the institu	tion is well administere	ed and the school is reas	onably selective.
	+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			

B.	 Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the admission requirements. 				
	1. Outstanding	2 Comparing	+++	4	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u> A	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUITI	MENT_			
A.	The institution appears to recruit from recruiting low income families.		of family income. No cor	centration on	
		+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
В.	The institution appears to recruit st	udents who have a po	tential or desire the educ	cation provided.	
		+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C.	The students appear to have an ho				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILITI	<u>ES</u>			
A.	The institution has satisfactory train equipment to instruct in the stu			s, supplies, or	
		+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
B.	The classrooms or work stations are enrolled.	·	o accommodate the nun	nber of students	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	_	JupoJ	2. 34.0.43.01		
	Comments:				

C.	The premises and conditions under which the students work are sanitary and safe according to modern standards.				
	+++			4.11 (1.6.4.*	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
	TEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZ				
Α.	The instruction materials are comp	rehensive, accurate a	nd well organized.		
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	Satisfactory	 Unsatisfactory* 	
	Comments:				
В.	The instructional material is geared of the students enrolled.	l at a level of understa	anding which adheres to	the educational level	
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	-	2. Caponor	o. Calloladioly	n endationationy	
	Comments:				
	THE resident training is reasonably ultimately hopes to gain.	well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or	
		+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
В.	Student records adequately reflect	the student's progres	s during his period of en	rollment.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
	Commonie.				
C.	The student records adequately reinstitution.	flect the student's plac	cement after his/her train	ing with the	
	+++	0.0	0.0-6.6.6	A 11	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				

D. Ch	aracterize your impression of th	e institution.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
E. Th	e majority of the students appea institution.	ar to be satisfied with t	he education they have re	eceived from the
	+++ 1. Outstanding	0.000000	O Catiata stance	4.11
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
	Please initial the st	atus vou balieve this	institution should recei	VA
1. No	Status – If, after a review of the			
	tion and the formal team evalua			
	e deficiencies that in the opinion um standards required for opera			
	ning institution should be award			i trie
	ning institution should be recom			
	ndidate If, after a review of th tion and the formal team evalua			
	encies that in the opinion of the (
	nial of the right to do business, t	hen the petitioning ins	titution may be awarded	
"Cand	idate" status.			
3 Acc	reditation with Recommenda	tions – If after a revie	ew of the forms an materia	ıls
submi	tted by the petitioning institution	and the formal team of	evaluation the petitioning i	nstitution
	nd to still possess certain deficie			denial of
	ditation or candidate status, but sincy, then the institution may be			tatus
3111010		and add a consultor		
<u>4. Ful</u>	ly Accredited – If, after a reviev	w of the forms and ma	terials and the formal tean	n
	ation the institution has corrected			
or Acc	redited with Recommendations	status, then it shall be	e granted "Fully Accredited	d″ status.

If status Is 1, 2, or 3, list your specific reasons or recommendations below. Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

Team Member's background, as related to evaluation participation, is as follows: Please describe appropriate background experience and credentials.

CARDIOVASCULAR TECHNOLOGIST SINCE 1982

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	NOVEMBER 2, 2006		
Institution Evaluated:	SAINT ANTHONY SCHO	OL OF ECHOCARDIOGRAP	HY
Name of Team Member:	PAMELA MATTINGLY		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	ALUATORS		
In each category you are to ra	ate the institution on a scale	e of one (1) to four (4) as follo	ws:
 Outstand Superior 	ing	3. Satisfactory4. Unsatisfactory	
There is space for comments. The asterisk (*) denotes <u>requested comments</u> in order to better explain your evaluation.			
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	NAL OBJECTIVES		
A. The educational philosoph	nies/objectives are consiste	ent with the institution's role as	s a training facility.
+++			
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
B. The resident training is reaseeks. +++ 1. Outstandin		actually train the student for 3. Satisfactory	the job he/she 4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
C. The advertising, brochure that it is a training ins		entations made are truthful, a cific areas of instruction it pror	
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
CATEGORY II FACULTY A. The institution has an ade and/or experience to		nstructors or teachers trained	by education
+++ 1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*

Comments:

B.	The educational administrators are qualified professionally to administer their position through education and/or experience.				
	+++	2. Companies	2. Cotinfortoni	4	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C.	The faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.		
		+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY III STUDENT POLICY				
A.	Student counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's pers	onal attainments.	
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
B.	The student/administration relation +++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	and stable rapport withi 3. Satisfactory	n the institution. 4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C.	The student educational needs are	e met by the institution			
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	TICES			
A.	The admission policy of the institut	tion is well administere	ed and the school is reas	onably selective.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	_	z. Superior	3. Salisiaciory	4. Unsalistaciony	
	Comments:				

B.	Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the admission requirements.				
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	MENT_			
A.	The institution appears to recruit from recruiting low income families.		of family income. No cor	ncentration on	
		+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
В.	The institution appears to recruit st	udents who have a po	tential or desire the educ	cation provided.	
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C.	The students appear to have an ho	onest impression of the	e institution before they e	enroll.	
		+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILITI	<u>ES</u>			
A.	The institution has satisfactory train equipment to instruct in the stu			s, supplies, or	
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
B.	The classrooms or work stations ar enrolled.	re the necessary size t	o accommodate the nun	nber of students	
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				

C.	The premises and conditions under which the students work are sanitary and safe according to modern standards.				
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
	ATEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZ				
Α.	The instruction materials are comp	rehensive, accurate a	nd well organized.		
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	Satisfactory	 Unsatisfactory* 	
	Comments:				
В.	The instructional material is geared of the students enrolled.	l at a level of understa	anding which adheres to	the educational level	
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	-	oup	or canonactory	Ccanc.ac.c.,	
	Comments:				
	The resident training is reasonably ultimately hopes to gain.	well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or	
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:	z. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Orisalistaciory	
B.	Student records adequately reflect	the student's progres	s during his period of en	ollment.	
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	· ·	2. 0400.01	o. Calibration	n onoanolasiony	
	Comments:				
C.	The student records adequately reinstitution.	flect the student's plac	cement after his/her train	ing with the	
	+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				

D. Ch	aracterize your impression of th	e institution.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
E. Th	e majority of the students appea institution.	ar to be satisfied with t	he education they have re	eceived from the
	+++ 1. Outstanding	0.000000	O Catiata stance	4.11
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
	Comments:			
	Please initial the st	atus vou balieve this	institution should recei	VA
1. No	Status – If, after a review of the			
	tion and the formal team evalua			
	e deficiencies that in the opinion um standards required for opera			
	ning institution should be award			i trie
	ning institution should be recom			
	ndidate If, after a review of th tion and the formal team evalua			
	encies that in the opinion of the (
	nial of the right to do business, t	hen the petitioning ins	titution may be awarded	
"Cand	idate" status.			
3 Acc	reditation with Recommenda	tions – If after a revie	ew of the forms an materia	ıls
submi	tted by the petitioning institution	and the formal team of	evaluation the petitioning i	nstitution
	nd to still possess certain deficie			denial of
	ditation or candidate status, but sincy, then the institution may be			tatus
3111010		and add a consultor		
<u>4. Ful</u>	ly Accredited – If, after a reviev	w of the forms and ma	terials and the formal tean	n
	ation the institution has corrected			
or Acc	redited with Recommendations	status, then it shall be	e granted "Fully Accredited	d″ status.

If status Is 1, 2, or 3, list your specific reasons or recommendations below. Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

Team Member's background, as related to evaluation participation, is as follows:

Please describe appropriate background experience and credentials.

5 YEARS EXPERIENCE AS CARDIAC TECH 26+ YEARS EXPERIENCE AS ECHO TECH 18+ YEARS EXPERIENCE AS VASCULAR TECH 4 YEARS EXPERIENCE AS REGIONAL MANAGER FOR TEMP AGENCY (MEDICAL)

302 W. Washington Street, Room E201 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Date of Evaluation:	NOVEMBER 2, 2006		
Institution Evaluated:	SAINT ANTHONY SCHO	OL OF ECHOCARDIOGRAP	HY
Name of Team Member:	VAL URELLO		
CHECK LIST FOR TEAM EV	ALUATORS		
In each category you are to ra	ate the institution on a scale	e of one (1) to four (4) as follo	ws:
 Outstand Superior 	ling	3. Satisfactory4. Unsatisfactory	
There is space for comments. The asterisk (*) denotes <u>requested comments</u> in order to better explain your evaluation.			
CATEGORY I EDUCATION	NAL OBJECTIVES		
A. The educational philosoph	nies/objectives are consiste	nt with the institution's role as	a training facility.
+++			
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
B. The resident training is reaseeks. +++ 1. Outstandin		actually train the student for t 3. Satisfactory	he job he/she 4. Unsatisfactory*
	ELINICAL HOURS IS GOOI	•	4. Orisalistaciory
Comments. 000 C	ZIMOAZ NOOKO 10 GOOI	5	
C. The advertising, brochure that it is a training ins		entations made are truthful, ar sific areas of instruction it pron	
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*
Comments:			
CATEGORY II FACULTY A. The institution has an ade and/or experience to		nstructors or teachers trained	by education
+++			
1. Outstandin	g 2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*

Comments: ALL INSTRUCTORS ARE QUALIFIED AND WELL EXPERIENCED

B.	The educational administrators are qualified professionally to administer their position through education and/or experience.				
	<u></u>	+++			
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
C.	The faculty appear to be satisfied	with the overall institut	ion.		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				
	Student counseling is adequate to	show concern for the	individual student's perso	onal attainments.	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:	·	•	-	
B.	The student/administration relation +++ 1. Outstanding Comments: STUDENTS V	2. Superior	and stable rapport within 3. Satisfactory	the institution. 4. Unsatisfactory*	
C.	The student educational needs ar				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments: STAFF IS AV TO MEET STUDENT NEE		DENTS - HAVE TAKEN LEARNING LEVELS	GREAT STRIDES	
<u>C</u> A	ATEGORY IV ADMISSION PRACT	TICES			
A.	The admission policy of the institu	tion is well administere	ed and the school is reaso	onably selective.	
	1 Outstanding	+++	2 Cotiofostoni	4 Upostisfasta *	
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*	
	Comments:				

B.	Students who have special learning handicaps are aware of the demands needed to meet the admission requirements.					
		+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments:					
<u>C/</u>	ATEGORY V STUDENT RECRUIT	MENT				
Α.	The institution appears to recruit from a diversified level of family income. No concentration on					
	recruiting low income families	3.				
		+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments: WOULD INCF	REASE MARKETING -	BUT I BELIEVE THAT IS	S IN THE FUTURE		
B.	The institution appears to recruit students who have a potential or desire the education provided.					
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	•			,		
	Comments:					
C.	The students appear to have an h	onest impression of the	e institution before they e	nroll.		
-	оррон от от		,			
	+++ 1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	i. Outstanding	z. Superior	3. Salisfactory	4. Unsalistaciony		
	Comments:					
<u>C</u>	ATEGORY VI PHYSICAL FACILIT	<u>IES</u>				
Α.	The institution has satisfactory train	ining or educational fac	cilities with sufficient tools	s, supplies, or		
	equipment to instruct in the st	tudent's selected area	of study.			
		+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments:					
В.	The classrooms or work stations a	are the necessary size t	to accommodate the num	nber of students		
	enrolled.	·				
		+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	-		OLATED - IS NOT DIST	RACTED.		

C.	The premises and conditions under which the students work are sanitary and safe according to modern standards.					
		+++				
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments: .					
	TEGORY VII COURSE ORGANIZ					
Α.	The instruction materials are comprehensive, accurate and well organized.					
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments: WELL ORGAI	NIZED				
В.	The instructional material is geare of the students enrolled.	d at a level of understa	anding which adheres to	the educational level		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments:					
	TEGORY VIII OBJECTIVES The resident training is reasonably ultimately hopes to gain.	well developed to act	ually train the student for	the job he seeks or		
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	Comments: HAS A GOOD LEARNING ENVIRONMEN		HICS, DIFFERENT PAT	IENTS, GOOD		
В.	. Student records adequately reflect the student's progress during his period of enrollment.					
	1. Outstanding	+++	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	•	2. Superior	3. Salisfactory	4. Unsalistaciony		
	Comments: .					
C.	. The student records adequately reflect the student's placement after his/her training with the institution.					
	1. Outstanding	2. Superior	3. Satisfactory	4. Unsatisfactory*		
	_	2. Gapono i	o. Calibratory	i. Official statistically		
	Comments:					

1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: E. The majority of the students appear to be satisfied with the education they have received from the institution. +++ 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: STUDENTS EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION WITH ENTIRE PROGRAM Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.	D. Characterize your impression of the institution.								
E. The majority of the students appear to be satisfied with the education they have received from the institution. +++ 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory Comments: STUDENTS EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION WITH ENTIRE PROGRAM Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.									
E. The majority of the students appear to be satisfied with the education they have received from the institution. +++ 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: STUDENTS EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION WITH ENTIRE PROGRAM Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.	ry*								
institution. +++ 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: STUDENTS EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION WITH ENTIRE PROGRAM Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.									
institution. +++ 1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: STUDENTS EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION WITH ENTIRE PROGRAM Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.									
1. Outstanding 2. Superior 3. Satisfactory 4. Unsatisfactory Comments: STUDENTS EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION WITH ENTIRE PROGRAM Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.									
Comments: STUDENTS EXPRESSED GREAT SATISFACTION WITH ENTIRE PROGRAM Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.	rv*								
Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive.	•								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Please initial the status you believe this institution should receive. 1. No Status – If, after a review of the forms and materials submitted by the petitioning institution and the formal team evaluation, the petitioning institution is found to have such severe deficiencies that in the opinion of the Commission are deemed to not meet the minimum standards required for operation of a postsecondary proprietary school, then the petitioning institution should be awarded "No Status," and the applicant status of the petitioning institution should be recommended for revocation. 2. Candidate — If, after a review of the forms and materials submitted by the petitioning institution and the formal team evaluation, the petitioning institution is found to have certain									
deficiencies that in the opinion of the Commission can be corrected and would not be cause for denial of the right to do business, then the petitioning institution may be awarded "Candidate" status.									
3. Accreditation with Recommendations – If, after a review of the forms an materials submitted by the petitioning institution and the formal team evaluation the petitioning institution is found to still possess certain deficiencies that are not so serious as to cause either denial of									
accreditation or candidate status, but such recommendations are needed to increase efficiency, then the institution may be awarded "Accredited with Recommendations" status.									
4. Fully Accredited – If, after a review of the forms and materials and the formal team evaluation the institution has corrected all deficiencies noted during its Applicant, Candidate, or Accredited with Recommendations status, then it shall be granted "Fully Accredited" status.	<u>+</u>								

Please add any explanatory notes to your recommendation. Use additional page(s) if necessary.

PROGRAM IS WELL ORGANIZED WELL EDUCATED STAFF, CONCERNED WITH STUDENT PROGRESS

PLEASE COMPLETE THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM

Team Member's background, as related to evaluation participation, is as follows:

Please describe appropriate background experience and credentials.

22 YEARS EXPERIENCE IN CARDIOVASCULAR PRACTICE AS MANAGER OF NON-INVASIVE LAB 10 MEMBER CARDIOVASCULAR PRACTICE

CERTIFIED CARDIOVASCULAR TECHNOLOGIST

HAVE TAUGHT ADULT EDUCATION FOR 15 YEARS IN HEALTHCARE FIELD